

1 Bingham McCutchen LLP
2 WILLIAM F. ABRAMS (SBN 88805)
william.abrams@bingham.com
3 DAVID S. CANNON (SBN 209501)
david.cannon@bingham.com
4 ERIN A. SMART (SBN 246288)
erin.smart@bingham.com
5 AUDREY LO (SBN 253738)
audrey.lo@bingham.com
6 OLIVIA PARA (SBN 255592)
olivia.para@bingham.com
7 1900 University Avenue
East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2223
8 Telephone: 650.849.4400
Facsimile: 650.849.4800
9

Attorneys for Defendant
10 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

15 MMCA GROUP LTD.,
16 Plaintiff,
17 v.
18 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY ET AL.,
19 Defendants.
20
21

06-7067

No. ~~07-6076~~ MMC (EMC)

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER SEALING
MATERIAL IN HP'S PRETRIAL
FILINGS; DIRECTIONS TO HP

L. R. Civ. P. 79-5

[No Hearing Required]

Judge: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney

On February 1, 2010, Hewlett-Packard Company (“HP”) filed an Administrative Motion to Seal Material in HP’s Pretrial Filings (“Administrative Motion”) and a supporting declaration (“Declaration”) establishing that the designated material is subject to being sealed.

The Court, having considered the Administrative Motion and Declaration, finds the following material in HP’s pretrial filings subject to being sealed:

1. Portions of HP’s Motion *In Limine* No. 1 To Exclude Evidence and Argument Regarding Allegations of Trade Secret Disclosure Through DMS; [Proposed] Order
2. Portions of HP’s Motion *In Limine* No. 2 to Exclude Evidence and Argument Regarding Damages Irrelevant to Remaining Claims and Damages Not Calculated by Plaintiff’s Damages Expert; [Proposed] Order
3. Portions of HP’s Motion *In Limine* No. 3 To Exclude Evidence And Argument Regarding Luis Ortega’s Employment Interviews; [Proposed] Order
4. Portions of HP’s Motion *In Limine* No. 4 to Exclude Evidence and Argument Regarding Three Ethics Complaints; [Proposed] Order
5. Portions of HP’s Motion *In Limine* No. 6 to Exclude Evidence and Argument Regarding Irrelevant, Unfairly Prejudicial, and Hearsay Evidence Regarding Alleged Relationships, Purported Pretexting, Employment Termination, Immigration Status and Alleged “Entrapment Seizures;” [Proposed] Order
6. Exhibits ^{1, 3, 4} ~~1-4~~ and 6-20 to the Declaration of Erin A. Smart in Support of HP’s Motions *in Limine* and Motion for Reconsideration

///

///

///

///

///

///

1 Therefore, pursuant to Rule 79 of the Local Civil Rules of the Northern District of
2 California, the Administrative Motion is GRANTED.


3 HP is hereby DIRECTED to file in the public record, no later than March 8, 2010,
4 Exhibits 2 and 5 to the Declaration of Erin A. Smart in Support of Hewlett-Packard's Motions
~~IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:~~ in Limine and Motion for Reconsideration.

5 ~~Whenever filed, in whole or in part, with the Court in this case, the material~~
6 ~~referenced above shall be filed under seal.~~

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 DATED: March 1, 2010

By:


Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
United States District Judge